

Streaming SVD

Ahmer Nadeem Khan

Florida State University

February 18, 2026

Outline

1 Incremental SVD

2 Streaming SVD

3 Next Steps

Outline

1 Incremental SVD

2 Streaming SVD

3 Next Steps

Incremental SVD

We want an SVD of the augmented matrix

$$\tilde{A} := [A \ C] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (n+c)}$$

without recomputing from scratch.

Incremental SVD

Project C onto the current left singular subspace $\text{span}(U)$:

$$L := U^T C \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times c}.$$

Split C into “in-subspace” plus orthogonal remainder:

$$C = UL + H, \quad H := (I - UU^T)C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times c}.$$

By construction,

$$U^T H = U^T (I - UU^T)C = 0.$$

So columns of H are orthogonal to columns of U .

Incremental SVD

Compute a thin QR factorization of H :

$$H = JK,$$

where

$$J \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times \rho}, \quad J^T J = I_\rho, \quad K \in \mathbb{R}^{\rho \times c} \text{ upper triangular,}$$

and $\rho = \text{rank}(H) \leq c$.

Because $U^T H = 0$, we also have

$$U^T J = 0 \quad \implies \quad [U \ J]^T [U \ J] = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & I_\rho \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence $[U \ J]$ is an orthonormal basis for $\text{span}(U) \oplus \text{span}(J)$.

Incremental SVD

Start with

$$\tilde{A} = [A \ C] = [U\Sigma V^T \ C].$$

Insert the decomposition $C = UL + JK$:

$$\tilde{A} = [U\Sigma V^T \ UL + JK].$$

Factor out the left orthonormal block $[U \ J]$:

$$[U\Sigma V^T \ UL + JK] = [U \ J] \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma V^T & L \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}.$$

So far this is exact.

Incremental SVD

Now separate the right-side orthonormal pieces by writing

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma V^T & L \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & L \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}}_{=: Q \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+\rho) \times (r+c)}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} V^T & 0 \\ 0 & I_c \end{bmatrix}}_{=: W^T}.$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{A} = [U \ J] Q W^T.$$

Here $[U \ J]$ has orthonormal columns, and W has orthonormal columns as well.

Key Idea: Reduce the Update to an SVD of Q

We have expressed \tilde{A} as

$$\tilde{A} = \underbrace{[U \ J]}_{\text{orthonormal}} \underbrace{Q}_{\text{small}} \underbrace{W^T}_{\text{orthonormal}} .$$

Thus, an SVD of \tilde{A} can be obtained by computing an SVD of the *small core* matrix Q .

Compute (thin) SVD:

$$Q = \hat{U} \hat{\Sigma} \hat{V}^T,$$

where

$$\hat{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+\rho) \times (r+\rho)}, \quad \hat{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+\rho) \times (r+\rho)}, \quad \hat{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+c) \times (r+\rho)}.$$

(Any consistent thin/full convention is fine)

Incremental SVD

Substitute $Q = \hat{U}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{V}^T$ into \tilde{A} :

$$\tilde{A} = [U \ J] \hat{U} \hat{\Sigma} \hat{V}^T W^T.$$

Define updated factors:

$$U_{\text{new}} := [U \ J]\hat{U}, \quad \Sigma_{\text{new}} := \hat{\Sigma}, \quad V_{\text{new}} := W\hat{V}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{A} = U_{\text{new}} \Sigma_{\text{new}} V_{\text{new}}^T.$$

Orthonormality:

$$U_{\text{new}}^T U_{\text{new}} = \hat{U}^T [U \ J]^T [U \ J] \hat{U} = \hat{U}^T I \hat{U} = I,$$

and similarly $V_{\text{new}}^T V_{\text{new}} = I$.

Optional: Truncation Back to Rank r

Often we want to maintain rank r .

After computing the SVD of Q , keep only the top r singular values:

$$Q \approx \hat{U}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r \hat{V}_r^T,$$

where $\hat{U}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+\rho) \times r}$, $\hat{\Sigma}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$, $\hat{V}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{(r+c) \times r}$.

Then the *rank- r* updated approximation is

$$\tilde{A} \approx \underbrace{([U \ J] \hat{U}_r)}_{U_{\text{new},r}} \hat{\Sigma}_r \underbrace{(W \hat{V}_r^T)}_{V_{\text{new},r}^T}.$$

Key Idea

The update is:

$$[A \ C] = [U \ J] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & U^T C \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{small}} \begin{bmatrix} V^T & 0 \\ 0 & I_c \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{with } (I - UU^T)C = JK.$$

So you only need an SVD of the small middle matrix.

Other Related Ideas

1. Incremental SVD

- Update an existing SVD when new data arrives.
- Maintains a continuously evolving factorization.
- Efficient but introduces dependency across updates.

2. Subspace Tracking

- Maintain one low-rank subspace over time.
- Used in signal processing (PAST, Oja).

3. Block / Hierarchical Methods

- Partition matrix into spatial or temporal blocks.
- Use hierarchical low-rank structure (H-matrices, HSS).

Outline

1 Incremental SVD

2 Streaming SVD

3 Next Steps

Streaming SVD

Problem Setting

We observe a sequence of snapshots

$$S_1, S_2, \dots, S_T, \quad S_t \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

Goal:

- Compute a low-rank SVD of each snapshot.
- Exploit structural similarity between consecutive snapshots.
- Maintain independent compressed storage per snapshot.

Streaming SVD

Key Observation

Assume

$$S_t = S_{t-1} + E_t$$

If $\|E_t\|$ is small and a spectral gap exists, then

$$\text{range}(U_t) \approx \text{range}(U_{t-1})$$

by classical perturbation theory (Davis–Kahan, Wedin).
Therefore, the dominant singular subspaces drift slowly.

Streaming SVD

Wedin's Theorem (Perturbation of Singular Subspaces)

Let $M, \tilde{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with

$$M = [U_1 \ U_2] \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{M} = M + \Delta = [\tilde{U}_1 \ \tilde{U}_2] \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\Sigma}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\Sigma}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{V}_1^T \\ \tilde{V}_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Define the spectral gap

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \min_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ r+1 \leq j \leq n}} |\sigma_i - \tilde{\sigma}_j|, \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sigma_i \right\} > 0.$$

Then

$$\|\sin \Theta(\tilde{U}_1, U_1)\|_F^2 + \|\sin \Theta(\tilde{V}_1, V_1)\|_F^2 \leq \frac{\|U_1^T \Delta\|_F^2 + \|\Delta V_1\|_F^2}{\delta^2}.$$

Streaming SVD

Interpretation of Wedin's Theorem

Assume consecutive snapshots satisfy:

$$S_t = S_{t-1} + E_t.$$

Wedin's theorem implies:

- If $\|E_t\|$ is small,
- and a spectral gap δ exists,

then

$$\sin \Theta(U_t, U_{t-1}) \lesssim \frac{\|E_t\|}{\delta}.$$

Implication for Streaming SVD:

- Dominant singular subspaces drift slowly.
- U_{t-1} is already a high-quality approximation to U_t .
- Warm-starting randomized SVD drastically reduces required iterations.

Small perturbation \Rightarrow small subspace rotation \Rightarrow fast convergence.

Streaming SVD

Standard Randomized SVD

For a matrix A :

$$Y = A\Omega$$

where Ω is Gaussian.

Then:

- 1 $Q = \text{orth}(Y)$
- 2 Compute SVD of $Q^T A$
- 3 Form truncated approximation

Streaming SVD

Warm-Started

Instead of a purely random probe, use the previous left singular vectors:

$$Y = S_t U_{t-1}$$

This immediately captures dominant energy if subspace drift is small.

Streaming SVD

Residual Correction (Necessary for Stability)

To capture new emerging directions:

$$Y = S_t [U_{t-1} \quad \Omega]$$

where:

- U_{t-1} = smart probe
- Ω = small Gaussian block

Streaming SVD

Algorithm Structure

For snapshot S_t :

- 1 Form $Y = S_t[U_{t-1}, \Omega]$
- 2 $Q = \text{orth}(Y)$
- 3 Compute small SVD of $Q^T S_t$
- 4 Recover approximate SVD of S_t
- 5 Store (U_t, Σ_t, V_t) independently

Important: U_{t-1} is used only during solving.

Streaming SVD

Compression vs Decompression

Compression (Dependent)

- Uses U_{t-1} to accelerate convergence.

Decompression (Independent)

- Each (U_t, Σ_t, V_t) stored as standalone block.
- No dependency on S_{t-1} .

Streaming SVD

Error Control (?)

After computing Q , estimate residual:

$$\|(I - QQ^T)S_t\|$$

Adaptive strategy:

- Add random columns until residual \leq tolerance.

This preserves strict approximation guarantees.

Outline

1 Incremental SVD

2 Streaming SVD

3 Next Steps

Streaming SVD

Assumed Structure in Snapshot Data

We observe a sequence:

$$S_1, S_2, \dots, S_T$$

Streaming acceleration is possible only if snapshots exhibit structure:

- Small perturbations between steps
- Slowly drifting dominant subspaces
- Low-rank updates
- Localized spatial or temporal changes

Without structure, we must recompute from scratch.

Streaming SVD

Model 1: Small Perturbation Model

Assume

$$S_t = S_{t-1} + E_t, \quad \|E_t\| \text{ small.}$$

By Wedin/Davis–Kahan:

$$\sin \Theta(U_t, U_{t-1}) \lesssim \frac{\|E_t\|}{\delta}.$$

Implication:

- Dominant subspaces drift slowly.

Streaming SVD

Model 2: Low-Rank Update Structure

Assume

$$S_t = S_{t-1} + A_t B_t^T, \quad \text{rank}(A_t B_t^T) \ll n.$$

Properties:

- Only a few new directions introduced.
- Residual energy concentrated in small subspace.
- Random correction block can be very small.

Common in scientific simulations and video data.

Streaming SVD

Model 3: Slowly Rotating Subspace

Assume

$$S_t = U_t \Sigma_t V_t^T, \quad U_t = U_{t-1} R_t,$$

where R_t is a small rotation.

Then:

$$\|U_t - U_{t-1}\| \text{ small.}$$

Implication:

- Principal components evolve smoothly.
- Warm-start approximates one power iteration step.

Streaming SVD

Model 4: Block / Localized Changes

Assume partitioned structure:

$$S_t = \begin{bmatrix} S_{t-1}^{(1)} & * \\ * & S_{t-1}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \text{localized update.}$$

Properties:

- Changes affect subset of rows/columns.
- Hierarchical/block methods may help.

Streaming SVD

When Streaming Fails

If snapshots are statistically independent:

$$S_t \perp S_{t-1},$$

then:

- Subspaces unrelated.
- Full randomized SVD required each time.

Next Steps

Construct synthetic snapshots:

$$S_t = S_{t-1} + E_t,$$

where:

- $S_1 = U\Sigma V^T$ is low-rank,
- E_t is a small random perturbation,
- $\|E_t\|$ is controlled.

Drift parameter:

$$\eta_t = \|E_t\|.$$

Next Steps

Experimental Comparison

For each snapshot, compare:

- Standard randomized SVD
- Warm-started randomized SVD

Measure:

- Reconstruction error:

$$\|S_t - U_t \Sigma_t V_t^T\|$$

- Required oversampling size
- Number of matrix–vector products
- Runtime

Next Steps

Expected Outcome

From Wedin/Davis–Kahan:

$$\sin \Theta(U_t, U_{t-1}) \lesssim \frac{\|E_t\|}{\delta}.$$

Thus:

$\|E_t\|$ small \Rightarrow Subspace drift small \Rightarrow Warm-start effective.